IN THE M.P. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AT RAIPUR
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 54 OF 1998

APPLICANT : *TEKRAM SAHU*
(Ex. Asstt. Teacher previously appointed on adhoc basis)
S/O SHRI SURAJ RAM SAHU
AGE ABOUT 40 YEARS,
R/O - VILLAGE AURABHATA, POST - BAIGAKAPA
TAH. - LORAMI,
DISTT. - BILASPUR (M.P.)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANT/ RESPONDENTS :

(1) *STATE OF M.P.. THROUGH COLLECTOR, BILASPUR, DISTT. BILASPUR (M.P.)*

(2) *COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, GOVERNMENT OF M.P., DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (DPI OFFICE)NEAR CHETAK BRIDGE, BHOPAL (M.P.)*

(3) *DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, EDUCATION DISTT. BILASPUR EDN. DEPTT. P.O. BILASPUR M.P.*

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINSITRATIVE TRIBUNAL ACT. 1985 (NO.13 OF 1985)READ WITH ARTICLES 14 & 16 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PARTICULARS OF APPLICANT

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.2377 OF 2005

Petitioner	:	Tekram Sahu
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of M.P. and others

Present : Mr. A.N.Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER
(Passed on 7th of September, 2006)

It is submitted that the facts and question of law involved in the present petition are same to that of in *Malikram Sidar and others vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others* (Original Application No.624/1997). The Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, by its judgment and order dated 22-3-1997, allowed the application of the petitioners therein. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to approach the respondents/State for consideration and disposal of the petitioner's

case in terms of the order dated 22-3-1997 passed in the case of *Malikram Sidar and others* (Supra) by Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State fairly agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the respondents – State Authorities for their decision on the representation in the light of the order dated 22-3-1997 passed in the case of *Malikram Sidar and others* (Supra), in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable time.

4. With the above-stated liberty, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal